

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,657	03/01/2002	Bryan D. Bigsby	3191E-000028	7892	
27572	7590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, I P.O. BOX 828	DICKEY & PIERCE, P	JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL			
	D HILLS, MI 48303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3726	A	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					C				
*,		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/08	87,657	BIGSBY, BRYAN	1 D.				
Offi	ice Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			Jimenez	3726					
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears of	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for a - If NO period for a - Failure to reply a - Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F G DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this com- reply specified above is less than thirty (is reply is specified above, the maximum so within the set or extended period for repl- ed by the Office later than three months rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may be statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim IONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 August 2</u>	<u>2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This ac	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since the closed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims								
4a) Of th 5)	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pape		otion analor cicoti	on requirement.						
	cification is objected to by the	e Evaminer							
10) The dra Applicar Replace	wing(s) filed on <u>01 March 20</u> nt may not request that any obje ment drawing sheet(s) including	<u>02</u> is/are: a)⊠ acction to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
-	n or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	r. Note the attacr	ned Office Action or form P	10-152.				
12) Acknow a) All b 1. C 2. C 3. C 3. C 4 * See the a 13) Acknowle since a sp 37 CFR 1 a) The	dedgment is made of a claim of the priority certified copies of the priority copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the certified copies pplication from the Internation attached detailed Office action of the certific reference was included 78. The translation of the foreign late address the translation of the foreign late was included in the first serior the priority that the translation of the foreign late address the translation of the f	documents have documents have of the priority document priority document priority document of the conformation of the first sentence of the priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority documents and d	been received. been received in cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies in ty under 35 U.S. ence of the special application has ty under 35 U.S.	n Application No en received in this National ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s)				,					
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fiction of the Color of the			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

"As"

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 11-15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Newly submitted claims 11-15 are drawn to a "golf cart suspension" whereas the originally presented invention was drawn to a "method of attaching an axle of a golf cart".

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 11-15 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. A complete reply to this final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "the fastener" in lines 8-9 which lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tantlinger et al. (3,386,724).

Tantlinger et al. teach in fig. 6, aligning a leaf spring 39 beneath an axle 23 and above a bottom plate 51, inserting ends of a U-bolt 45 initially through a first set of apertures associated with the axle (see the plate 13,15) and subsequently through second set of apertures of the bottom plate 51, the U-bolt 45 encompassing the leaf spring 39 and being inserted in a downward direction (see fig. 6), and securing the leaf spring 39 between the axle 23 and the bottom plate 51 by securing the fastener 45 at the bottom plate 51.

Regarding claim 2, note the mounting bracket 13 attached to the axle 23 which includes the first set of apertures.

Regarding claim 3, the mounting bracket 13 includes a trough within which the first set of apertures is disposed.

Regarding claims 4, the note the thirds set of apertures associated with the axle 23 and a fourth set of apertures associated with the bottom plate 51 (there are two sets apertures in the

Art Unit: 3726

axle and the bottom plate). Note also the second U-bolt 47 which goes through the respective apertures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tantlinger et al.

Regarding claim 6, Tantlinger et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of using washers. However, official notice is taken that it is well known to use washers in order to prevent damage to the plates. Applicant has essentially acquiesced the well known statement in the last office action by not rebutting the well known statement (see also MPEP 2144.03). Therefore, it is taken as applicant's admitted prior art to use washers.

8. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tantlinger et al. in view of Lang et al. (6,223,865).

Tantlinger et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of having a disc brake.

Lang et al. teach that it is known to use disc brakes (abstract, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

Application/Control Number: 10/087,657

Art Unit: 3726

invention, to have provided the invention of Tantlinger et al. with a disc brake, in light of the teachings of Lang et al., in order to provide a brake assembly that is compact and easy to service as suggested by Lang et al. at col. 2, lines 9-30.

Regarding claim 10, Tantlinger et al./Lang et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of using washers. However, official notice is taken that it is well known to use washers in order to prevent damage to the plates. Applicant has essentially acquiesced the well known statement in the last office action by not rebutting the well known statement (see also MPEP 2144.03). Therefore, it is taken as applicant's admitted prior art to use washers.

9. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art [AAPA] (page 1, para. [0003] to page 2, para. [0004] of applicant's specification) in view of Tantlinger et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, [AAPA] teaches that it is known to attach an axle to a leaf spring by utilizing U-bolts (para. [0003], line 3). Furthermore, it is known to use disc brakes (para. [0004], line 1).

[AAPA] teach the invention cited with the exception of aligning a leaf spring beneath an axle and above a bottom plate, inserting ends of a U-bolt initially through a first set of apertures associated with the axle and subsequently through second set of apertures of the bottom plate, the U-bolt encompassing the leaf spring and being inserted in a downward direction, and securing the leaf spring between the axle and the bottom plate by securing the fastener at the bottom plate.

Tantlinger et al. teach in fig. 6, aligning a leaf spring 39 beneath an axle 23 and above a

Art Unit: 3726

bottom plate 51, inserting ends of a U-bolt 45 initially through a first set of apertures associated with the axle (see the plate 13,15) and subsequently through second set of apertures of the bottom plate 51, the U-bolt 45 encompassing the leaf spring 39 and being inserted in a downward direction (see fig. 6), and securing the leaf spring 39 between the axle 23 and the bottom plate 51 by securing the fastener 45 at the bottom plate 51.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided the invention of [AAPA] with aligning a leaf spring beneath an axle and above a bottom plate, inserting ends of a U-bolt initially through a first set of apertures associated with the axle and subsequently through second set of apertures of the bottom plate, the U-bolt encompassing the leaf spring and being inserted in a downward direction, and securing the leaf spring between the axle and the bottom plate by securing the fastener at the bottom plate, in light of the teachings of Tantlinger et al., in order to secure the spring and axle together without imposing detrimental forces or stresses as suggested by Tantlinger et al. at col. 2, lines 2-4.

Tantlinger et al. teach the features of claims 2-4, 8, and 9 as discussed above.

Regarding claims 6 and 10, [AAPA]/Tantlinger et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of using washers. However, official notice is taken that it is well known to use washers in order to prevent damage to the plates. Applicant has essentially acquiesced the well known statement in the last office action by not rebutting the well known statement (see also MPEP 2144.03). Therefore, it is taken as applicant's admitted prior art to use washers.

Art Unit: 3726

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Interviews After Final

12. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview is presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing) to clarify issues for appeal requiring only nominal further

Art Unit: 3726

consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will</u> be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Contact Information

13. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information. M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, or fax (703) 872-9301 or by email to CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Jimenez whose telephone number is 703-306-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, between 5:30 am- 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication

(703) 308-6789 or (888) 786-0101

Assignment Branch Certificates of Correction (703) 308-9723 (703) 305-8309

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman

(703) 305-8404/8335

Petitions/Special Programs

(703) 305-9285

Terminal Disclaimers

(703) 305-8408

PCT Help Desk

(703) 305-3257

If the information desired is not provided above, or a number has been changed, please call the general information help line below.

Information Help line

1-800-786-9199

Internet PTO-Home Page

http:www.uspto.gov/

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700